



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,555	06/20/2001	Manfred Janson	GR 00 P 12398	5639

7590 03/10/2004

LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.
PATENT ATTORNEYS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

EXAMINER

HONG, HARRY S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2642

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,555	JANSON, MANFRED.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Harry S. Hong	2642		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kempainen (cited by the applicant as reference 0; applied for the first time in the present US Application).

With respect to claims 1-5, 7-13, 15, and 16, Kempainen plainly teaches the claimed telecommunication system and a data processing device providing telecommunications applications via the CTI environment. The claimed connection device clearly reads on the distributed-switching IC/H.100 switch chip taught by Kempainen at the paragraph entitled "High-capacity switching fabric".

With respect to the CSTA protocol of claims 6 and 14, Kempainen teaches API and it is well known in the art that CSTA is a set of API call agreed upon by the European Computer Manufacturers Association for linking computers to telephone systems.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Grigonis (cited by the applicant as reference P; applied for the first time in the present US Application).

With respect to claims 1-5, 7-13, 15, and 16, Grigonis plainly teaches the claimed telecommunication system and a data processing device providing telecommunications applications via the CTI environment. The claimed connection device clearly reads on the distributed-switching IC/H.100 switches taught by Grigonis on page 265, right column.

With respect to the CSTA protocol of claims 6 and 14, Grigonis teaches API on page 267, right column and it is well known in the art that CSTA is a set of API call agreed upon by the European Computer Manufacturers Association for linking computers to telephone systems.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mitel (cited by the applicant as reference K; applied for the first time in the present US Application).

With respect to claims 1-5, 7-13, 15, and 16, Mitel plainly teaches the claimed telecommunication system and a data processing device providing telecommunications applications via the CTI environment. The claimed connection device clearly reads on the peripheral telecommunications hub 21 taught by Mitel at page 4, line 29 – page 5, line 26.

With respect to the CSTA protocol of claims 6 and 14, Mitel teaches API at column 5, line 8, and it is well known in the art that CSTA is a set of API call agreed

upon by the European Computer Manufacturers Association for linking computers to telephone systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry S. Hong

Harry S. Hong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642

March 4, 2004